

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE


| | | |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| DONALD COLE BURCHETT, |) | CASE NO. C05-0287-JCC-MAT |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | ORDER TO RETURN DOCUMENTS |
| |) | TO PLAINTIFF |
| CAROL VAN BUREN, et al., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court has directed that his complaint be served and that defendants respond to the complaint. (Dkt. #15). On June 1, 2005, the court received a letter from plaintiff, with several exhibits attached, which plaintiff requests be “entered into evidence” for this case. (Dkt. #22). Having considered the letter and the exhibits, the court does hereby find and ORDER as follows:

(1) Plaintiff’s letter (Dkt. #22) is construed as a motion to amend his complaint. Plaintiff is advised that all motions, pretrial statements and other filings shall be accompanied by a certificate showing that such documents have been served upon counsel for the opposing party. *See* Local Rule CR 5(f). Because plaintiff’s motion to amend was not accompanied by such a certificate of service, the Clerk shall STRIKE the motion (Dkt. #22) and return these documents to plaintiff. Plaintiff is further advised that in the future, any documents that have not been served on opposing counsel will also be stricken, but will not be returned.

01 (2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and to counsel for
02 defendants.

03 DATED this 6th day of June, 2005.

04 
05 Mary Alice Theiler
06 U.S. Magistrate Judge
07
08
09
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26